



3 Related Tactics that Can Increase Impact

WHY THESE 3 TACTICS CONNECT AND REINFORCE EACH OTHER. These 3 areas are not independent. They are connected. A clear action with no owner rarely happens. An owned action with no check-in date rarely happens on time. Each one compounds overall impact. So if there is some overlap across the 3 areas, that is ok. The goal is that they help move the talent review from discussion to impact.

1 Action Plan Clarity

What specific action are we agreeing to take as a result of this review?



WHAT IT IS

A specific, named action tied to a person and a deadline, not a general intention or a note to "keep an eye" on someone.

WHY THIS MATTERS

Ensures that the talent review leads to tangible outcomes that enable business performance.

BARRIERS

- Reviews end in talk-track summaries, not decisions
- Discomfort committing to a specific action in the room
- Action items describe a general goal, not a specific action or clear next step

WARNING SIGNS

- Review notes read the same across cycles
- Action items are one-word verbs: develop, stretch, expose, etc. and lack substance
- No one can say six months later what was decided

FACILITATOR QUESTIONS TO ASK IN ROOM

- "If we did nothing else, what's the one action that would matter most?"
- "Whose calendar does this action actually live on, and by when?"
- "How would you explain this action to the person's manager in one sentence?"

2 Business Leader Accountability

Who, outside of HR, is responsible for taking the action?



WHAT IT IS

A non-HR owner, a manager or business leader, who is responsible for executing the action and answering for it.

WHY THIS MATTERS

Ensures actions are owned by business leaders and managers rather than assuming it is an HR action item.

BARRIERS

- HR runs the review, so HR inherits the follow-through
- Line leaders treat it as an HR event, not a business one
- No consequence/accountability sits with the business owner

WARNING SIGNS

- HR is the only name attached to action items
- Same issues go unaddressed review after review without any change or real progress
- Managers can't recall commitments about their own people

FACILITATOR QUESTIONS TO ASK IN ROOM

- "Who outside of HR is making sure this happens?"
- "Does [name] know they own this, or are we assuming they'll find out?"
- "If this doesn't get done, how will you (business leader) know?"

3 Follow-Up

What is the timing for reporting back on agreed upon actions, and what does success look like?



WHAT IT IS

A defined check-in date, reporting expectation, and success metric attached to each action at the moment it is agreed.

WHY THIS MATTERS

Creates transparency around what's expected, avoiding any confusion, and increases the likelihood of actions being taken.

BARRIERS

- No calendar cadence built for check-ins
- Success is never defined at the point of decision
- Follow-up is treated as optional once the meeting ends

WARNING SIGNS

- Reviews happen annually with nothing checked in between
- Leaders are surprised an action never happened
- No metric is tied to any specific action

FACILITATOR QUESTIONS TO ASK IN ROOM

- "When are we coming back together to check on this?"
- "What does success look like for this action six months from now?"
- "Who's reporting back, and to whom?"